Video-aware Multicast Opportunistic Routing over 802.11 two-hop mesh networks

Kostas Choumas, Ilias Syrigos, Thanasis Korakis, Leandros Tassiulas



University of Thessaly – NITLAB



Singapore SECON 2014





Opportunistic Routing (OR)

• OR could either:

- "Relay the received signal acting as multi-antenna system"
- "Combine the bits received at different nodes to correct errors"
- "Optimize the choice of the next forwarder from the nodes that received a transmission"
- We focus on the third approach!



Why Opportunistic Routing?

- Wireless access has increased popularity and efficiency
- Routing based on a shortest path comes from wired networks
 - Wired connectivity: reliable unicast transmissions
- Opportunistic Routing
 - Takes advantage of the wireless broadcast nature
 - Robust to the wireless transmission errors

EXOR (ACM SIGCOMM 2005)

- First implementation
- Focus on unicast routing
- Avoids contentions/collisions, based on a modified MAC layer
- Process:
 - 1. Source separates the packets in *batches*, in order to send them collectively
 - 2. Source broadcasts the batch packets (limited times)
 - 3. Each potential receiver retransmits each of them (limited times)
 - 4. Destination sends an aggregate ACK using the shortest path, when it receives all batch packets
 - 5. Source proceeds to the following batch

(Source uses shortest path if all transmitters exhaust their transmissions)

ExOR – Batches separation















MORE (ACM SIGCOMM 2007)

- Support of multicast routing
- Uses network coding
- MAC-independent, compliant to 802.11 CSMA/CA
- Process:
 - 1. Source groups the packets into *batches* and randomly mixes the packets of the same batch before forwarding them
 - 2. Source broadcasts the network coding packets (without limitation)
 - 3. Each potential receiver retransmits mixing the received packets (for each receipt transmits a specified number of times)
 - 4. Destinations send aggregate ACKs as before
 - 5. Source proceeds to the following batch













Video Requirements in Routing

- Forwarding *on-time* is of greater importance, than forwarding *reliably*
- The duration of a wireless transmission cannot be estimated
 - Occasional variations of channel conditions
 - MAC retransmissions
- In traditional routing over wireless, the duration of a forwarding process is unpredictable
 - May exceed the time constraints of a video streaming

Video Enhancements of MORE

- OR with ACK copes with similar inconvenience, making the duration of a forwarding process unpredictable
- Pacifier (IEEE/ACM Transactions on Networking 2012) addresses this weakness
 - Suggests a round-robin mechanism that enables source to move to the next batch every time that one receiver sends an ACK
 - No time guarantees again
- Denial of the ACK mechanism enables all packets to be delivered either on-time or dropped earlier. If a forwarding process lasts more than a *slot*, source proceeds to the following batch.

Video Enhancements of MORE

- Since there is no ACK, the number of transmissions that source and relays perform should be limited again (like ExOR)
- A *first-decode-then-transmit* policy imposes the relays to decode the whole batch and then start transmitting, reducing the contentions/collisions
- Estimation of the number of transmissions for source and relays, is based on an algorithm that maximizes the average probability of batch reception among all destinations

VIMOR (IEEE SECON 2014)

- Improves significantly the approach of MORE for Video streaming, following the aforementioned enhancements (and even more)
- The estimation of the transmissions of source and relays (x and y respectively) is the solution of the problem below



 $e_{1} = 1 - (1 - 0.5^{x})(1 - 0.6^{y})$ $e_{2} = 1 - (1 - 0.1^{x})(1 - 0.2^{y})$ $e_{3} = 1 - (1 - 0.5^{x})(1 - 0.6^{y})$ $max_{x,y} \quad 1 - e_{1}e_{2}e_{3}$ s.t. x + 3y < c

c is the maximum number of transmissions could happen in a slot







How we improve?

• Give transmission opportunity only to the relay with the greatest probability of success



$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{e}_{\ddagger} &= 1 \cdot (1 \cdot 0.5^{*})(1 \cdot 0.6^{*}) \\ \mathbf{e}_{2} &= 1 \cdot (1 \cdot 0.1^{x})(1 \cdot 0.2^{y}) \\ \mathbf{e}_{\ddagger} &= 1 \cdot (1 \cdot 0.5^{*})(1 \cdot 0.6^{*}) \\ &\max_{x,y} \quad 1 \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\ddagger} \mathbf{e}_{2} \mathbf{e}_{\ddagger} \\ &\text{s.t. } \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{a}_{y} < \mathbf{c} \end{aligned}$$

c is the maximum number of transmissions could happen in a slot







ViMOR – Diversity in Multicast

Improving even more...

- OR-PLC (IEEE Globecom 2010) uses Priority Linear Coding (PLC) in NC enabling the decoding of a subset of the batch packets, when the decoding of the whole batch is infeasible.
- In video streaming, the retrieval of the intra-frames only, even if we loose the inter-frames, is something affordable.
- Classification and prioritization of the video packets, adopting PLC.

ViMOR – PLC





Experimentation results



mechanism of MORE for k = 64 and $e_1 = e_2 \approx 0.001$.







mechanism of MORE for k = 64 and $e_1 = e_2 \approx 0.001$.





The marker of its line indicates the $e_1 - e_2$ or $e_2 - e_1$ values (same results). The solid lines correspond to the ViMOR's assignment and the dashed lines to the 50 - 50% one.



(d) PLC compared to RLC for k = 64, $\alpha = 1/3$ and multiple e_1 and e_2 values. The marker of its line indicates the $e_1 - e_2$. The solid lines correspond to PLC and the dashed lines to RLC.



Fig. 4. Video performance comparison between ViMOR and MORE in the 7-nodes topology of Figure 2(b). The dashed lines correspond to the PSNR evaluation of the receipt video of each individual destination under ViMOR.

Thank you

Questions?

Why two-hop mesh networks?

• Source is able to know the link qualities of the whole mesh network, in order to estimate the x and y values

- MORE supports broader topologies, however, the number of transmissions of source and relays is estimated offline
 - Studies have shown that link metrics are sensitive and should be frequently updated (*Studying wireless routing link metric dynamics*, ACM IMC 2007)